

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,752	09/21/2005	Oreste Caselli	7202-129	6655
30448 AKERMAN SI	7590 09/18/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 318		SPEER, TIMOTHY M		
WEST PALM	BEACH, FL 33402-318	38	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,752	CASELLI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TIMOTHY M. SPEER	1794	

•	Examiner	ALC OTHE						
	TIMOTHY M. SPEER	1794						
The MAILING DATE of this communication at Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ldress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Editions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR after SX (6) MCNTHS from the making date of the communication. If NO period for may is specified above, the mountment statutory period and the state of	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05/	<u>27/08</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
 Since this application is in condition for allow 	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are pending in the appl	ication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the €	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
Certified copies of the priority document	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bure								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
August 1997								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(RTO 412)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,752 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (USPN 6,770,312) for reasons of record in the Office Action dated 02/27/08, which is incorporated herein by reference. With respect to claim 4, optimizing the temperature to which the product is cooled would have been well within the purview of the ordinary worker and, accordingly, is not seen to distinguish over the applied prior art. Where, as here, the prior art teaches the general conditions of a claim, it is not inventive to discover optimum or workable ranges through routine experimentation. In the present case, such endeavor with respect to the cooling temperature would certainly involve no more than routine experimentation and is not considered to be inventive.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 05/27/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Yamamoto fails to teach freezing "traditional" risotto, as presently claimed. This is not persuasive, since Yamamoto clearly suggests that risotto is well within the scope of the disclosure. For instance, Yamamoto teaches that "[t]he present invention can be applied widely for manufacturing frozen food products... for example, pilaf and risotto"

Application/Control Number: 10/516,752

Art Unit: 1794

(col. 15, lines 11-15). On reading such disclosure, one having ordinary skill in the art would

immediately envisage freezing "traditional" risotto, as presently claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY M. SPEER whose telephone number is (571)272-

8385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Keith D. Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy M. Speer/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794